



Mimic: Raw Activity Shipping for File Synchronization in Mobile File Systems

Tae-Young Chang, Aravind Velayutham, and Raghupathy Sivakumar School of Electrical and Computer Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

File Synchronization in Mobile File Systems



- File synchronization in distributed file systems is a consistency restoration process between a file server and a client
- Over mobile networks such as a WWAN, file synchronization in traditional file systems cannot be performed effectively due to limited bandwidth
- Bandwidth usage efficiency of file synchronization is important

2 of 2

Bandwidth-Efficient File Synchronization (1)

- Data compression scheme
 - Compresses each block of data or meta-data
 - On-line data compression in a log-structured file system
 [Burrows'92]
- Differential update scheme
 - Exploits similarities between versions of the same file
 - Based on the *diff* scheme of the UNIX systems
 - Rsync [Tridgell'00]
 - Low-bandwidth network file systems [Muthi'01]





Bandwidth-Efficient File Synchronization (1)

- Operation shipping
 - Logs and ships user operations that update the files
 - Session/application level logging and replaying
 - Need modification for GUI-based interactive applications
 - Corrects replaying errors by forward error correction (FEC)
 - Minor re-execution discrepancies caused by non-repeatable operations can be detected by fingerprint algorithms
 - Operation shipping for mobile file systems [Lee'02]





Motivation

Update size comparison in Microsoft Word

Activity Description	Activity Events	Full File Size	<i>diff</i> Size	Activity Size
Insert a line	98 keystrokes	29341 B	1543 B	236 B
Insert a paragraph	476 keystrokes	29356 B	2111 B	848 B
Copy and paste a paragraph from the same file	6 keystrokes + 12 mouse-clicks	33449 B	1119 B	72 B
Change the font type of a paragraph	7 mouse-clicks	40611 B	1660 B	30 B

GeorgiaInstitute of **Tech**nology

- GNAN Research Group

5 of 27

Goal and Overview

- Goal of our work
 - To design an application-unaware activity shipping scheme for file synchronization in interactive applications
- Mimic is a file synchronization strategy that records <u>raw</u> <u>activity</u> at the client side, ships the records to the server during synchronization, and replays the activity at the server





Mimic System Overview





7 of 27

Mimic Design Elements

- Raw activity recording
 - Mapping filename to process/session
 - Record optimization
- Raw activity replaying
 - Environment synchronization
 - Replaying speed optimization
- Integration of Mimic with file systems
- Verification/error correction of replayed files
 - Presented in [Lee'02]





Mimic Client Design: Mimic Client to Window Manager Interface





Window Handle Table (WHT)

- WHT maps the process (or session) handle and filename of an application to the corresponding set of window handles
 - When a file is opened with a filename, the mapping information is acquired, and added on WHT
 - through processToWindowHandles()
 - When the file is closed, the mapping is removed from WHT
- Mimic recorder captures system messages having the window handles listed on the WHT
 - through trapSystemMessage()





Descriptors

- Each captured message is translated into a descriptor
- Activity Descriptor (AD)
 - Describes an individual user input activity for an application
- Meta Descriptor (MD)
 - Capture the changes of system environment during recording
 - Includes screen resolution, color depth, keyboard layout, clipboard content, etc.
- Environment Descriptor (ED)
 - Describes the initial system environment when recording begins
 - Same structure as that of an MD
 - through getEnvironment() and getClibboard()

Georgia Institute of Technology



Descriptor Structures





12 of 2

2004-06-10

of **Tech**nology

Records

- Each descriptor is recorded in a record
- File Activity Record (FAR)
 - Maintained per file
 - Consisting of file information, a set of environment descriptors (EDs), a sequence of activity descriptors (ADs), and all other information
 - Linked to a meta descriptor (MD) of a meta activity record (MAR) when an environment change happens
- Meta Activity Record (MAR)
 - Shared by file activity records (FARs)
 - Consisting of a sequence of meta descriptors (MDs)





Mimic Client Design: Mimic Client to File System Interface







Integration with File Systems

- open(filename, mode, process_handle)
 - Called when a shared file is opened
- close(filename), rename(filename), delete(filename)
 - Called when a shared file is closed, renamed, or deleted
- synch(filename,diff_size)
 - Called when a shared file needs to be synchronized
 - Decides update mode by comparing FAR_size with *diff_size*
 - Returns Synch_Status
 - SYNCH_FAIL if Mimic synchronization is failed or *diff* is chosen
 - Updates again on *diff* mode when Mimic synchronization failed
- finish()
 - Called when the synchronization process is completed





Mimic Server Design:

Mimic Server to Window Manager/OS Interface







Initialization

- Environment synchronization
 - Based on the environment descriptor (ED) of the FAR
 - Initial system environment synchronization
 - through setEnvironment()
 - Clipboard content synchronization
 - through setClipboard()
- Application synchronization
 - Opens a corresponding application of the file activity record
 - Based on the file extension of the filename
 - Sets the same environment such as window size and location
 - through executeDefaultApplication()
 - Moves the system focus to the application

Georgialnstitute of Technology



Mimic Server Design:

Replaying

- System message/function generation
 - Activity descriptor (AD) is mapped into an input system message
 - Meta descriptor(MD) is mapped into a system function to set the environment
 - through playActivity()
- System message/function re-execution
 - Deliver the messages to system message queue
 - Run the system functions





Mimic Server Design:

Replaying Speed Control

- User activity skipping and misinterpretation
 - Certain inputs are relevant to the application only for particular states
 - Too fast replaying may not let the application wait for a particular state, and cause replaying errors
- Replaying speed control in Mimic
 - Monitors the CPU utilization of the process after every message playback
 - Playbacks the next AD, only when the process is idle
 - through waitForProcessIdle()





Experiment Setup

- Wireless wide area network (WWAN)
 - CDMA2000-1X cellular network
 - Effective data rate: about 17 Kbps
 - Round-trip time between the client and server: about 300ms
- Operating system/application
 - Microsoft Windows 2000 Professional
 - Microsoft Office 2000 suite
- Metrics
 - Transfer size
 - Synchronization latency
 - Includes shipping, replaying, and verification delays
 - Compared with the differential update (diff)
 - xDelta for Windows





20 of

Transfer Size Results (1)

Transfer Size for Microsoft Word







Transfer Size Results (2)

Transfer Size for Microsoft Visio





2004-06-10

Georgia Institute of Technology

Transfer Size Results (3)

- Transfer size in Mimic is generally equal or less than that in the differential update
 - Except when copying from outside the file
 - Due to bandwidth-inefficient clipboard structure
- Mimic overhead is generally proportional to activity size
 - Except when copying from outside the file
 - Transfer size relies on the size of the copied object
 - Diff overhead is not proportional to activity size
 - Single line insertion in *diff* may consume more bandwidth than a single paragraph insertion





Synchronization Latency Results (1)

Latency for Microsoft Word



Latency [s]





Synchronization Latency Results (2)

Latency for Microsoft Visio





Georgia Institute of Technology

Synchronization Latency Results (3)

- Mimic still shows better latency performance for certain activity or applications
 - Even though the latency in Mimic includes its playback time, its total update time does not exceed that of *diff*
 - Benefit of small transfer size for those operations is larger than playback overhead
- However, for the other types of activities, the differential scheme performs better in terms of latency
 - For large insertions and external copies





Conclusions

- We propose an application-unaware approach called Mimic that depends on transferring raw user activity records to the server, where the file is updated through a playback of the raw user activity on the old copy of the file
- We show that Mimic performs much better than *diff* in most scenarios in terms of the transfer file sizes
- We conclude that Mimic can be used in tandem with *diff* to substantially improve file synchronization performance





Georgia